



Sprint Nextel
2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191
Office: (703) 433-3786
Fax: (913) 523-9831

Charles W. McKee
Director
Government Affairs-Federal Regulatory
Charles.W.McKee@sprint.com

October 16, 2008

Via Electronic Submission

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication
In the Matter of Universal Service Contribution Methodology, WC Docket 06-122

Dear Ms. Dortch:

It has come to my attention that the attached *Ex parte Communication* filed on behalf of Sprint Nextel Corporation ("Sprint") on October 10, 2008, was inadvertently filed in WC Docket No. 06-112; the *ex parte* should have been filed in WC Docket No. 06-122. Please associate this letter with the file in that docket.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles W. McKee
Charles W. McKee
Director, Government Affairs

cc: Nicholas Alexander



Sprint Nextel
2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191
Office: (703) 433-3786
Fax: (913) 523-9831

Charles W. McKee
Director
Government Affairs-Federal Regulatory
Charles.W.McKee@sprint.com

October 10, 2008

Via Electronic Submission

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication

Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, CC Docket 01-92; In the Matter of Universal Service Contribution Methodology, WC Docket 06-112; In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket 96-45; High Cost Universal Service Support, WC Docket 05-337.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This letter is to inform you that on October 9, 2008, Anna Gomez and Charles McKee of Sprint Nextel Corporation ("Sprint") and Richard Metzger of Lawler, Metzger, Milkman & Keeney, LLC, met with Nicholas Alexander, Legal Advisor to Commissioner McDowell, to discuss reform of the intercarrier compensation regime.

Sprint's comments were consistent with its recent filings in this docket and emphasized the need to conduct reform in a pro-competitive manner. Specifically, Sprint noted its support for a unified termination rate of \$0.0007 as an appropriate transition to bill-and-keep. Sprint emphasized that this rate is fully compensatory and no carrier-to-carrier revenue replacement mechanism is necessary. If, however, the Commission were to adopt such a mechanism, it should adopt an appropriate benchmark as outlined in Sprint's previous filings. Sprint also expressed its continued support for the equal support rule and noted that it provides the same incentives for efficiency as price cap regulation.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, this letter is being electronically filed with your office. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles W. McKee
Charles W. McKee
Director, Government Affairs

cc: Nicholas Alexander